

TKHR**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

100 Galleria Parkway, NW
Suite 1750
Atlanta, GA 30339-5948
Telephone: (770) 933-8500
Facsimile: (770) 951-0933
www.tkhr.com

Raymond W. Armentrout
Direct Dial: (770) 738-2310

e-mail: ray.armentrout@tkhr.com

UNOFFICIAL
FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

July 1, 2003

[050817-1860]

TO
Anh Hong Do
FAX: 847-556-0620

From
Raymond W. Armentrout
FAX: 770-951-0933

TEL:

TEL: 770-738-2310

RE: Serial No. 09/996,967

(Message) Attached please find the Draft Proposed Interview Agenda for your review.

Number of Pages (Including This Cover Sheet): - 3 - Pages
PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE SAFE AND CLEAR RECEIPT OF ALL PAGES.

CONFIDENTIAL

The information in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

INTERNATIONAL PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW AND RELATED LITIGATION

Huntsville, Alabama

Atlanta, Georgia

-- DRAFT --

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Bradley Suggs

Serial No.: 09/996,967

Filed: 11/27/2001


Group Art Unit: 2624

Examiner: Anh Hong Do

Docket No. 10970214-2

For: **MULTI-RESOLUTION COLOR CONTACT-TYPE
IMAGE SENSING APPARATUS**

PROPOSED INTERVIEW AGENDA

Agenda Item 1. Applicant requests amendment to claim 121 to incorporate all limitations of its base independent claim 115, and requests amendment to dependent claims 116, 117 and 120 to depend upon amended claim 121. 

Proposed Amendment to Claim 121 [using ~~strike through~~ for deleted matter and underlined for added matter]:

121. ~~An apparatus as in claim 115, A multiple resolution sensing apparatus, comprising:~~
at least one first photosensor segment having photosensitive elements for scanning at a first resolution;

at least one second photosensor segment having a plurality of rows, each one of the plurality of rows having photosensitive elements for scanning at a second resolution, the at least one second photosensor segment adjacent to the at least one first photosensor segment;
and;

at least one third photosensor segment having photosensitive elements for scanning at a third resolution, the at least one third photosensor segment adjacent to the at least one second photosensor segment,

wherein the photosensitive elements of the second photosensor segment have a higher density than the photosensitive elements of the first photosensor segment so that an image is scanned at a higher resolution with the photosensitive elements of the second photosensor segment than with the photosensitive elements of the first photosensor segment;

ole
116-124

ole 121
116
117
118
119
120

wherein, in creating a color image of the first resolution, the photosensitive elements of the first photosensor segment are used;

wherein, in creating a color image of the second resolution, the photosensitive elements of the second photosensor segment are used; and

wherein the photosensitive elements of the third photosensor segment have a higher density than the photosensitive elements of the second photosensor segment so that the image is scanned at the higher resolution with the photosensitive elements of the third photosensor segment than with the photosensitive elements of the second photosensor segment.

Furthermore, Applicant proposes cancelling (claim 115) to advance prosecution of the instant case. Claim 115 would be cancelled without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer, and therefore, the rejection to this claim is rendered moot. Applicant takes this action merely to reduce the number of disputed issues and to facilitate early allowance and issuance of other claims in the present application. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the subject matter of this cancelled claim in a continuing application, if Applicant so chooses, and does not intend to dedicate any of the cancelled subject matter of claim 115 to the public.

Agenda Item 2. The Office Action Summary sheet of the Office Action mailed June 3, 2003 (paper No. 8), indicates that (claims 21-40 are withdrawn from consideration.) Applicant requests that claims 21-40 be reinstated by the Examiner. Claims 21-34 ultimately depend upon allowed claim 1. Claims 35-40 ultimately depend upon allowed claims 15 or 16. Accordingly, no further examination is required on the part of the Examiner for allowance of claims 21-40. Furthermore, in the Office Action of November 18, 2002 (claims 21-40 were allowed.)

Applicant thanks the Examiner for granting an interview with the Applicant's undersigned attorney on Monday, July 7, 2003 at 10:00 a.m. to discuss the above items in the proposed Interview Agenda.

Respectfully submitted,



Raymond W. Armentrout, Registration No. 45,866

OK

116-124

21-46

21-40

have been
cancelled
by Armentrout
Received
on Feb 24
2003

OK but

37 CFR 1.121